

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,546	(05/16/2001	Guy G. Morneault	TE/10310	9721	
10361	7590 10/16/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
ANTONY O	C. EDWA	ARDS	THORNTON, KRISANNE MARIE			
1708 DOLPI	HIN AVE	NUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
KELOWNA	, BC V1	Y 9S4	1744	4Λ		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· ·		//2					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	Office Askin O	09/855,546	MORNEAULT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Krisanne M. Thornton	1744					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sh et with the correspond nce address Period for Reply								
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS.	the timely filed I days will be considered timely. I days will be considered timely.					
1) 🗀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application							
•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
· <u> </u>			by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		provod by the Examiner.					
- 12)∏ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·						
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)					
	All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under do d.o.o. 3 11	o(a) (a) or (r).					
-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		cation No					
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
	application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·					
14)∐ Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).					
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachment(s)		•					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 4					

Application/Control Number: 09/855,546

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nelson.

Nelson teaches an apparatus for maintaining the integrity of an isolation room of a hospital wherein a high mass-flow rate air mover is rigidly mounted within a housing cooperatively with a dual air flow zone and a UV emitter (see column 7, lines 15-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,546

Art Unit: 1744

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-4 and 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson as applied above.

Nelson teaches the basic configuration having the blower inlet above the UV emitter, however, with respect to the instant claims it is well held in the art that the rearrangement of structural elements without a change in their function is a matter of design change, which does not provide patentable distinction over the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne M. Thornton whose telephone number is 703-308-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 6, 2003